		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	arv	10/738,345	MORALES ET AL.	
	u, y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>allo</u>	wed	
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Kathryn Marra</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 April 2006		Time: <u>4:35pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)		·
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1, 5-11, and 21-24				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.			,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	he substance
			•	
·				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Kathryn Marra, Ms. Marra agreed to amend claims 1, 5-11, and 21-24 in order to correct minor defects and place the application in condition for allowance. In addition claims 21-24 have been renumbered as claims 20-23..